ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Evaluation of antibiotic treatment and antibiotic de-escalation in a intensive care unit with selective digestive decontamination

C Sánchez Ramirez^{1*}, L Caipe Balcázar¹, S Hípola Escalada¹, MA Hernández Viera¹, M Cabrera Santana¹, N Sangil Monroy², A Bordes Benitez³, P Saavedra Santana⁴, S Ruiz Santana¹

From ESICM LIVES 2015 Berlin, Germany. 3-7 October 2015

Objective

To evaluate the appropriate use of antibiotics and their de-escalation (DE) to treat nosocomial infections in an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) with Selective Digestive Decontamination (SDD).

Method

In a polyvalent ICU of 30 beds from October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2014 nosocomial infections (pneumonia, urinary tract infections, catheter-related bacteremia (BRC) and of unknown origin and secondary nosocomial bacteremia) were prospectively collected. ENVIN-HELICS diagnostic criteria were applied. Etiology, inflammatory response to infection, antibiotic treatment (ATB T), and treatment modifications according to culture results, was analyzed. SDD was applied to all admitted patients requiring endotracheal intubation over 48 hours. For each of the groups categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages and number in means and standard deviations (SD) or median with interquartile ranges (IQR). Percentages were compared, as appropriate, with the Fisher's exact test.or X² test and medians with the Wilcoxon test for independent samples. For those variables that were associated with DE in the univariate analysis were entered into a logistic multidimensional analysis. The model obtained was expressed by p-values and odd-ratios, which were estimated by confidence intervals at 95%. A hypothesis test was considered statistically significant when p-value was less than .05.

Results

Fifty-seven patients had ATB DE and 126 did not. There were no significant differences in demographics or type of admission (Figure 1)

Mortality was lower in patients receiving DE antibiotic (ATB) (22.9%, p: 0.095). In the multivariate study of urinary tract infection, septic shock or severe sepsis and secondary bacteremia were significant (Figure 2).

Of the 253 nosocomial infections ATB DE were performed at least in one of ATB in 131 (51.8%) of them. The inflammatory response and the type of infection did not show any decrease in the group with DE compared to all infections. The ATB T was inadequate in 43 infections (16.9%) (15 pneumonias, 11 urinary tract infections, 14 CRB and 3 secondary bacteremias). Targeted therapy was performed at least 1 time out of 109 infections (43.1% of infections), 28 pneumonias, 35 urinary tract infections, 38 CRB and 10 BRC secondary bacteremias. The number of antibiotics used was 483 and in 156 occasions ATB DE was performed. Frequency of use and DE is shown in Figure 3.

Conclusions

Patients with received versus those that did not received DE had a tendency towards a significant lower mortality. The factors independently associated to DE were urinary and secondary bacteremia and septic shock or severe sepsis. Inadequate ATB T in our ICU happened in 16.9% of nosocomial infections. ATB DE was performed in 51.8% of them. The most commonly used antibiotics were piperacillin-tazobactam (29.6%), levofloxacin (12.2%) and meropenem (10.7%).

¹University Hospital of Gran Canaria Dr Negrín, Intensive Care Unit, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2015 Sánchez Ramirez et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

	De-escalation		p
	Si	No	
	N = 57	N = 126	
Age, years	58.3 ± 17.2	61.2 ± 16.2	.260
Male / Female, %	68.4/31.6	61.1/38.9	.342
Apache-II	19 (15 ; 26)	22 (17 ; 29)	.062
Glasgow Coma Score	14 (8;15)	15 (9 ; 15)	.653
Traumátic patients, n (%)	9 (15.8)	15 (11.9)	.471
ICU stay, days	34.5 (18.5 ; 41.5)	30 (16.5 ; 48)	.806
Deaths, n (%)	8 (22.9)	30 (39.0)	.095
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	20 (35.1)	37 (29.4)	.439
Coronary patients, n (%)	11 (19.3)	19 (<mark>15</mark> .1)	.475
Liver cirrhosis, n (%)	2 (3.5)	11 (8.7)	.351
Renal failure, n (%)	15 (26.3)	32 (25.4)	.895
COPD, n (%)	8 (14.0)	18 (14.3)	.964
Emergency surgery , n (%)	24 (42.1)	33 (26.2)	.031
Immunosuppression, n (%)	5 (8.8)	11 (8.7)	.993
Neutropenia, n (%)	1 (1.8)	4 (3.2)	1
Parenteral nutrition, n (%)	13 (22.8)	29 (23.0)	.975
Renal replacement therapy. n (%)	18 (31.6)	46 (36.5)	.517
Nosocomial pneumonia, n (%)	29 (50.9)	46 (36.5)	.067
Catheter related Bacteremias, n (%)	28 (49.1)	41 (32.5)	.032
Secondary bacteremias, n (%)	4 (7.0)	30 (23.8)	.007
Urinary infections, n (%)	10 (17.5)	43 (34.1)	.022
Inflammatory response			.015
No	1 (1.8)	9 (7.1)	
Sepsis	11 (19.3)	44 (34.9)	
Severe sepsis	4 (7.0)	14 (11.1)	
Sepiic Shock	41 (71.9)	59 (46.8)	

Factor	Р	OR (CI - 95%)
Secondary bacteremia	.004	0.200 (0.060 ; 0.670)
Septic Shock o Severe sepsis	.009	3.936 (1.292 ; 12.0)
Urinary infection	.015	0.259 (0.078 ; 0.856)
OR; Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval		

	Frequency	
Antibiotic	ofuse	Frecuency of de-escalation
Amikacin	11	3
Ampicilin	2	0
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	1	1
Cefotaxime	11	3
Ceftazidime	21	6
Ceftriaxon	2	2
Cefuroxime	1	1
Ciprofloxacin	16	10
Cloxacilina	10	2
Colistin	18	2
Cotrimoxazole	4	0
Doxycycline	1	0
Erythromycin	1	0
Fluconazole	20	9
Fosfomicina	10	5
Gentamicin	9	4
Imipenem	17	7
Metronidazole	1	0
Penicillin	1	1
Piperacilin-Tazobactam	64	19
Ampicilin-Sulbactam	1	1
Teicoplanin	14	4
Tobramicvn	10	2
Vancomycin	15	9
Cefepime	47	17
Meropenem	52	19
Levofloxacin	59	16
Grepafloxacin	1	1
Linezolid	47	9
Voriconazole	2	2
Caspofungin	8	0
Tinecycline	2	1
Total	483	156

Authors' details

¹University Hospital of Gran Canaria Dr Negrín, Intensive Care Unit, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. ²University Hospital of Gran Canaria Dr Negrín, Pharmacy Department, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. ³University Hospital of Gran Canaria Dr Negrín, Microbiology Department, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. ⁴University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Mathematics and Informatics Department, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. Published: 1 October 2015

doi:10.1186/2197-425X-3-S1-A2

Cite this article as: Sánchez Ramirez *et al.*: **Evaluation of antibiotic** treatment and antibiotic de-escalation in a intensive care unit with selective digestive decontamination. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental* 2015 **3**(Suppl 1):A2.