

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Automation of oxygen titration in patients with acute respiratory distress at the emergency department. A multicentric international randomised controlled study

E L'Her¹, P Dias², M Gouillou², N Paleiron³, P Archambault⁴, P-A Bouchard⁵, F Lellouche^{6*}

From ESICM LIVES 2015

Berlin, Germany. 3-7 October 2015

Introduction

Oxygen therapy is commonly administered in critical care and emergency medicine. Its benefits are well known but potential side-effects may be underestimated. Compliance to recommendations remains dependent on staff workload. We developed FreeO₂, an innovative device that automatically titrates oxygen flow delivered through nasal cannulas or masks to maintain the patients in the SpO₂ target set by the clinician¹.

Objectives

To compare FreeO₂ with oxygen manual adjustment, in patient admitted to the emergency department (ED) for acute respiratory failure (ARF).

Methods

We conducted a multicentre randomized controlled study. Inclusion criteria were: admission to ED for ARF requiring O₂ ≥ 3L/min. Main exclusion criteria were: O₂ ≥ 15 L/min, immediate need for ventilatory support. After inclusion, patients were randomized to either FreeO₂ or conventional O₂ manual adjustment during 3 hours. The randomization was web-based and stratified for the type of respiratory failure (hypoxemia/hypercapnia) and for the centre. Primary outcome was the % of time with SpO₂ within the predefined target (92-96% for hypoxemic patients; 88-92% for hypercapnic patients). Secondary endpoints were: frequency of severe hypoxemia (SpO₂ < 85%) and hyperoxia (SpO₂ > 98%), partial or complete oxygen

weaning at the ED, total O₂ duration, ventilator support use, ICU admissions, ICU and hospital LOS.

Results

187 patients were randomized (93 FreeO₂ and 94 Manual). Baseline physiological characteristics were similar in the 2 groups: age = 76 ± 12 yrs., 35% of COPD patients; mean O₂ flow at inclusion was 5.8 ± 3.1 L/min. No serious adverse events related to the protocol or device was recorded. The percentage of time within the SpO₂ target was 81 ± 21% in the FreeO₂ arm and 51 ± 30% in the Manual arm (P < 0.001). Percentage of time with severe hypoxemia and with hyperoxia were significantly lower with FreeO₂ (P < 0.001). The percentage of patients with O₂ flow reduction of more than 50% during the 3 hours of the study was 39% with FreeO₂ vs 19% in the Manual arm (P = 0.011). Percentage of O₂ weaning at the end of the study (3h) was 4.3% in the FreeO₂ arm vs. 14.1 % in the Manual arm; p < 0.001. Significantly less patients in the hypercapnic subgroup were transferred in the ICU, overall O₂ administration and hospital LOS were significantly reduced in the non-adjusted analysis (9.2 ± 6.9 vs 11.1 ± 7.0, P = 0.01).

Conclusions

The automation of oxygen therapy with FreeO₂ at the ED improves the oxygenation parameters with more time in the specified SpO₂ target, less desaturation and less hyperoxia. FreeO₂ may reduce staff workload and improve the compliance to recommendations for oxygen administration with potential related clinical benefits

⁶Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec, Lac-Beauport, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Grant Acknowledgment

PHRC National 2010 FreeO2 Hypox #08-12

Grant PSR-SIIRI-MDEIE (Ministry of Finances, Québec)

Authors' details

¹CHRU Brest, Brest, France. ²CHRU Brest, CIC INSERM 1412, Brest, France. ³HIA Clermont-Tonnerre, Brest, France. ⁴Hôtel Dieu, Lévis, Canada. ⁵Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec, Québec, Canada. ⁶Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec, Lac-Beauport, Canada.

Published: 1 October 2015

Reference

1. Lellouche F, L'her E: Automated oxygen flow titration to maintain constant oxygenation. *Respir Care* 2012, **57**(8):1254-1262.

doi:10.1186/2197-425X-3-S1-A424

Cite this article as: L'Her et al: Automation of oxygen titration in patients with acute respiratory distress at the emergency department. A multicentric international randomised controlled study. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental* 2015 **3**(Suppl 1):A424.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
