

### **POSTER PRESENTATION**

Open Access

# Temperature monitoring during ECMO: an in vitro study

F Mojoli\*, S Bianzina, L Caneva, G Tavazzi, S Mongodi, M Pozzi, A Orlando, A Braschi

From ESICM LIVES 2015 Berlin, Germany. 3-7 October 2015

#### Introduction

The need of heat exchanger in the ECMO circuit is controversial. Moreover, how to monitor patient central temperature during extracorporeal support is still not clear, but potentially useful for the detection of "unexpressed" fever, eventually related to septic complications.

#### **Objectives**

We conducted two in vitro experiments to estimate ECMO heat dispersion and obtain clinical information regarding patient central temperature during extracorporeal support.

#### **Methods**


Experiment A. We analyzed heat dispersion of an ECMO circuit (Maquet Rotaflow PLS System) at 36 combinations of blood flow (BF 1-3-5 L/min), gas flow (GF 0-5-10 L/min) and set temperature (T set 36-37-38-39 °C).

In any condition, heat dispersion was considered equal to the power (Watts) generated by the heat exchanger at the steady state, defined as stable temperature throughout the circuit.

Experiment B. By a reservoir bag, we connected two circuits (pump+oxygenator+heat exchanger), one simulating the patient and the other the ECMO circuit. Patient and ECMO circuit T set ranged 36-39 °C and 35-39 °C, respectively, for overall 63 conditions;  $\Delta T$  was patient - ECMO circuit T set difference. The power generated by the two heat exchangers (Watts, W) was recorded at constant patient BF (5 L/min) and ECMO BF and GF (3 L/min each).


#### Results

A) Overall, BF was 3.0  $\pm$  1.7 L/min, GF 5.0  $\pm$  4.1 L/min, pump rate 1299  $\pm$  615 rpm, T set 37.5  $\pm$  1.1 °C and circuit



Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy





heat dispersion  $58 \pm 12$  W (range 37.5-90), corresponding to a supposed patient metabolic consumption of  $1196 \pm 246$  Kcal/die (range 774-1857) in case of heat exchanger absence or inactivation. It was affected by GF and T (p < 0.001), but not by BF (p = 0.9) (Figure 1).

B) For  $\Delta T > 0$  heat exchanger did not generate energy, while for  $\Delta T < 0$  it supplied energy proportional to  $\Delta T$  (p < 0.001). For  $\Delta T = 0$ , heat exchanger power was 49  $\pm$  7 W (range 40-55) (Figure 2).

#### **Conclusions**

ECMO heat dispersion depends on GF and temperature, but not on BF. Therefore, heat exchanger should be considered also during low BF/high GF ECCO<sub>2</sub>R. T set on heat exchanger is well matched with patient central temperature when its power is in the 40-55 W range, whereas lower power values may be associated to patient "unexpressed" fever.

Published: 1 October 2015

doi:10.1186/2197-425X-3-S1-A506

**Cite this article as:** Mojoli *et al*.: **Temperature monitoring during ECMO: an in vitro study.** *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental* 2015 **3**(Suppl 1): A506.

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com